

INDICATORS OF URBAN SUSTAINABILITY IN MEXICO

Silverio HERNÁNDEZ-MORENO

Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Cerro de Coatepec s/no., Ciudad Universitaria, C. P. 50100 Toluca, Estado de México, México silverhm2002@yahoo.com.mx

Jesús DE HOYOS-MARTÍNEZ

Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Cerro de Coatepec s/no., Ciudad Universitaria, C. P. 50100 Toluca, Estado de México, México consultoria.jesus@gmail.com

Abstract

The principal objective of this project is to summarize the characteristics that define urban sustainability in Mexican cities; its basic principles and the advantages of their application in the development of future cities, as well as the description of the indicators of urban sustainability that directly affect the development of communities, from households, colonies or neighbourhoods to cities or regions. The project's method is based on the analysis of bibliographical information and the revision of some practical cases that refer to the development of sustainable indicators in urban environment.

Keywords: Indicators, sustainability, urbanism, development, cities.

1. INTRODUCTION

The 2007-2012 National Development Plan (PND) of the Executive Branch of the Government of Mexico, in the fourth of five central themes on public policy described (PND, 2007), sums up *environmental sustainability* in three main aspects: the sustainable use of natural resources, protection of the environment and the knowledge and culture needed for sustainability; and even though this theme has errors in some concepts and is incomplete with respect to the definition of sustainable development presented by the *Brundtland Report* (UN, 1982), emphasizes the sustainability as an important factor in the development of the country, particularly in the use of water, forests, jungles and biodiversity; at the same time it emphasizes environmental management and ecological order leading to controlling climate change and appropriate management of dangerous solid waste. Though it is not the central theme of this paper, we should mention that this national plan, in the section of that theme or chapter 4, there is no mention of the sustainable management of energy, of raw materials or how economic and social aspects should interact with the environment, in order to complete the triangle of

sustainable development in every way; in any case, the paper also mentions that scientific environmental research is necessary for social development, as well as education and environmental cultures (PND, 2007). On the other hand, Mexico has been taking steps towards the moderation and reduction of environmental impact in diverse sectors of production, like fisheries, forestry and industry, with the dispositions and considerations described in the General Law of Ecological Equilibrium and Environmental Protection, in the section of environmental impact (LGEEPA), but when it comes to city planning and construction there are no existing norms which directly regulate the design of architectural and urbanization projects or products. Recently the National Housing Commission (CONAVI, 2007) published a code for the construction of housing which includes in part VI a reference to sustainability, chapter 27 is entitled Sustainability where an approximation about energy, bioclimatic design at an urban and architectural level, water, green space, climatic maps and small section referring to thermal materials, which can be of great use for home design though not at a norm or regulation level for sustainable construction, and in the case of an individual wanting to apply these recommendations, there is a lack of methodology which would help to apply the recommendations to any type of project.

This paper is an introduction to Sustainable Urban Development or sometimes called *New Urbanism*, and will discuss the principles and advantages of its application (CNU, 2008), to understand *the indicators of sustainability* which are must be taken into account for there to be good planning in sustainable urban development, and to understand the factors that influence cities in particular, specifically through the concrete study of: housing, neighborhoods and whole communities.

2. THE CONCEPT OF SUSTAINABLE URBAN DESIGN

Sustainability is when the current society can satisfy all of its needs without compromising the needs of future generations (UN, 1982), taking advantage of the natural resources while controlling and changing the environmental impact caused by any productive activity (Ingwe and all, 2009). On the other hand, design is a creative process in the production of objects, projects and services that serve to satisfy any necessity. In the case of architecture and urbanism design serves to satisfy the needs of inhabitability. So, sustainable urban design is a creative process for the implementation of urban projects under sustainable premises and practices. The sustainable principles and practices in terms of urbanism are (Serrano-Barquín et al., 2009):

- Pedestrian space
- Urban connectivity

- Diversity in the use of soil
- Diversity in materials for housing
- Architectural and urban quality
- Increments in urban density
- Intelligent transportation
- Sustainability of the site
- Sustainable management of project sites
- Sustainable management of the energy for the Project. It is important to mention that the energy variable is linked to climate change and does not only affect transportation and agriculture but also the construction industry and the urbanization of cities as well as other industries indirectly related to construction. (Musatescu et al., 2009).
- Sustainable management of the water for the project
- Sustainable management of the construction materials
- Sustainable management of the waste during the entire cycle of life of the project
- Sustainable management of the comfort of the interior and exterior in urban architectural projects
- Improvement of the quality of life of the user and inhabitants of cities

Sustainable design is a very important and basic tool in the production and implementation of sustainable urban projects, as indicated above, it is a creative process in which premises of sustainability are used, such as the better use of natural, financial and human resources to lessen the environmental impact caused by these activities of product generation and urban services. According to Kim (1998), there are three general principles of sustainable design: firstly the saving of resources by applying the premises of reduction, reuse and recycling; secondly the methodology of life cycle design, which helps evaluate and design the product according to the impact it will cause in each part of the useful life of the product; and thirdly the "human design" focused on attending to the social and esthetic needs which instigate the project or product (Kim, 1998). These last points are very similar to the methodology proposal from LEED® (Leader in Energy and Environmental Design), which is a method used in construction processes for the production of sustainable or partially sustainable buildings.

In sustainable urban design, methodology is also based on these points, in other words, sustainable design as a design tool applied to urbanism. Therefore, sustainable urbanism is a new and intelligent way to manage urbanism in the world.



3. BENEFITS OF SUSTAINABLE URBANISM

The benefits of the application of new urbanism are not only for the user or inhabitant, but also for developers, businesses and governments (Hernández-Moreno, 2008). The following shows the main benefits for each one:

Benefits for inhabitants:

- Better quality of life
- Less traffic from vehicles
- Life with less stress
- Better workplaces and recreational areas
- Better displacement from one location to another
- An important reduction in pollution and environmental impact

Benefits for businesses:

- Increase in sales due to more pedestrians in cities
- Less stress in supplying each customer
- Saving money on transportation
- Rental costs decrease in commercial zones and an increase in opportunities for small and large businesses

These benefits for retailers also bring benefits for the development of cities as well as the optimal use of city infrastructure, not only improves the look of business but the quality of life of the inhabitants and the improvement of the urban image (Popescu, 2009). At the same times, it is important that new technologies are used in the management of public services in cities, for example, electronic services through e-mail is an important tool for the improvement in the administration of government services, in order to transform and improve the interactions between public administration and their clients (citizens and investors) who invest in the development of the (Plumb and Zamfir, 2009).

Benefits for developers:

- Better opportunities for real-estate development due to the increase of density and the organization of cities
- More sales per square meter of land
- And added value to the land
- Cost savings due to time saving, in the cities that adopt intelligent growth (Duany, 2006)
- Space saving in parking areas that serve as a commercial area as well

- Less need for parking areas due to an increase in pedestrians
- Reduction of impact on urban infrastructure due to a reduction in the use of automobiles
- Decrease in expenses for environmental impact

Benefits for local governments:

- More stability in the payment of taxes
- Less per-capita expense for infrastructures compared with traditional urbanism
- Less vehicle traffic
- Less expense in public safety due to the increase in people day and night
- Better image and identity of the community or city
- Less risk in excessive or unsafe urban growth
- Better relationship between authorities and citizens
- Reduction in costs for environmental impact

By summing up the benefits for all sectors, it can be stated that the reduction of environmental impact, the better use of natural and economical resources and an improvement of quality of life for the inhabitants are the main benefits of the application of sustainable urban development.

In order for sustainable development to be applied in Mexico, there are various **obstacles which must be overcome** (Hernández-Moreno, 2008):

- The high initial cost of adopting an environmental mentality within urbanism, and other areas as well.
- An obvious technological and scientific delay which impedes the implementation of the necessary mechanisms to make cities sustainable; example: The mechanisms and devices to implement modern photovoltaic, wind power, geothermal power and mini-hydraulic systems, as well as the implementation of intelligent transportation, the treatment of dangerous waste, the recovery and treatment of sewage and run-off, etc.
- Extend and modify current norms according to sustainable development and sustainable urban planning, as well as public policy.
- Restore political and social interest in land use.
- And correct buildings constructed previously in an excessive and unplanned fashion.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE INDICATORS OF URBAN SUSTAINABILITY

Before describing these sustainability indicators according to sustainable urbanism, it is important to mention that this type of urbanism works at various levels, specifically two types: local or regional and

global. This paper will describe the most important indicators at a regional level for neighborhoods, as an essential part of the development of cities. The following are all the levels at which the criteria and premises of urban sustainability can be applied (Ewin, 1996):

- Buildings
- Groups of buildings
- City blocks
- Neighborhoods
- Networks of neighborhoods
- Downtowns
- Sections of cities
- Cities
- Regions
- Countries

In this paper the indicators are described which help us to make decisions on the appropriate implementation of sustainable urban planning and at the same city development of services, equipment, urban infrastructure, transportation and quality housing; for example, after analyzing the variables related to the system of transportation with mathematic models and methods, there would be a partial improvement in air pollution caused by transportation (Hadipour et al., 2009), proposing solutions to the problems of the technology of transportation, as an indicator of urban sustainability.. It is well known that the development of infrastructure, equipment and construction of buildings in general should be of quality so that cities can grow, from planning to implementation, which requires models, indicators and methodologies which help us with the task of decision making and planning of architecture or urbanism projects. One of the models that we can use to elevate quality in the area of construction is the Quality Management framework which suggests various elements of total guality in construction processes or engineering and architectural projects by means of initiatives which should be implemented to elevate quality of some construction products (Delgado-Hernández and Aspinwall, 2008). One of the elements and initiatives that require elevating and securing quality in the construction process is knowing the factors that intervene in the planning of a project which are determined by the treatment of its variable of study like factors that insist on the success and quality of the projects, and at the same time, of the factors that give us the necessary information for decision making about design and planning of these development projects. Therefore, this paper focuses on the study of generic themes or categories as central themes of development in the urban part of cities, considering the fact that other factors influence the development of cities, but because of the importance of the development of sustainable

criteria concerning sustainable urbanism, sustainable design, urban connectivity, urban transport and its relationship with the architectural part, etc., it is necessary that the urban indicators revolve around the premises of sustainability, classified into distinct categories.

What is a sustainability indicator? It is a statistics tool which captures and measures a particular aspect of sustainable development in a way that is easy to understand and communicate, permitting monitoring and subsequently the execution and conduction of a public policy or process of management (Ryding et al., 2003). The number of indicators of sustainability depends on the level of analysis that needs to be carried out as well as the variables and categories which define each case. In general the indicators of sustainability are numerous and comprise categories of each field of sustainable development (social, environmental and economic), but other fields can also be added as cultural, political and institutional. These fields are not directly related to sustainable development according to the basic definition (UN, 1982), but are incorporated due to the fact that political aspects are directly part of management and culture is a question of education. As mentioned above, sustainable urbanism is developed at various levels, as well as its indicators which can be local, regional or global, depending on the case and the objectives of the study, revision, planning or design, and can arise as partial or total.

At a local level, authorities are interested in indicators to help them in the decision-making process for urban development. At a regional level, institutions and various service agencies are also interested in knowing these indicators to have comparable information for the management of diverse projects and programs of regional development. At an international level, the knowledge of these indicators can be useful for financing a regional development project with international resources, or wherever necessary, and can generally be urgent and worrisome for the development of cities and communities of the third world.

5. METHODOLOGY FOR THE IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF URBAN SUSTAINABILITY

Starting from the list of chapters on sustainable development from the *Agenda 21*, according to his table of contents (UN, 1996), we observe in the section of categories and chapters, specifically about social aspects, that there is a category dedicated especially to the promotion of development of sustainable human settlements and total 8 indicators, only for sustainable development in this rubric; and another 55 indicators in the category of environmental aspects. At the same time, there are another 23 having to do with economic aspects and other indicators of institutional aspects. All of these reflect a situation of

sustainable development by themes. En total there are 134 indicators for sustainable development in a region or country. To identify and analyze the sustainable indicators only from the urban chapter and the breakdown of its categories, it is necessary to define a methodology which is described in the next paragraph.

The information for this study consists of a bibliography of sustainable development, tools to evaluate the environment like LEED® and BREEAM®, as well as related theory with environmental impact, new urbanism and regional development. With this information, the main topics were analyzed and evaluated in a qualitative way as well as the categories of urban sustainability that influence the identification of the main urban sustainability indicators. The INEGI study of sustainable development in Mexico was used as a foundation and classifies sustainable topics by category: economic, social, environmental and institutional, which allows us to place the development part of human settlements within a sustainable and urban focus (INEGI, 2000). Subsequently, a process of codification and identification of themes to interpret was carried out, derived from the sustainable urban context, which in theory cities should contain (Hsieh and Shannon, 2005). Finally themes were included and the indicators for each category broken down to the field of sustainable development. The capacity of an urban field to aid in sustainability is understood as a reflection of the diversification of dependant variables (which can be managed as categories) which impact the quality of life and satisfaction of the inhabitants (Parkes et al., 2002).

6. INDICATORS OF URBAN SUSTAINABILITY

Whenever a large number of variables exist, it is more difficult to determine or predict the response or answer, so topics and categories must always be eliminated to arrive at a smaller, controllable number. Figure 1 shows the field of sustainable development which could be defined as the dimensional framework, which limits all the variables presented and consequently the categories of study, from where these indicators arose referring only to urban sustainability.

This dimensional framework was enlarged to include the categories about sustainability criteria, specifically about the urban themes, in a way that the attributes of the urban environment are obvious. Table 1 shows the categories identified using the sustainability criteria, which could also be called urban sustainability topics, also called topics of urban sustainability, from which urban sustainability indicators can be extrapolated. It is important to note that the categories referred to in table 1 only show sustainability topics about urbanism, since in global terms of sustainable development, other factors exist and other variables should be taken into account.





FIGURE 1 - FIELD OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Field or Dimensional Framework	Category of Sustainability
	Productivity
	Growth
	Development
Economic Framework	Consumption
	 Infrastructure, equipment
	Transportation
	Housing
	Demographics
	Education
Social Framowork	Health
Social Framework	Combating poverty
	 Urban and Regional Development
	Equality
	Global Climate Change
	Air pollution
	Soil pollution
	Water pollution
	Other types of pollution
	Biodiversity
Environmental Framework	Integrity of ecosystems
	Energy consumption
	Water consumption
	Raw materials consumption
	Other intermediate goods
	Environmental quality
	Urban reforestation and green spaces
	Policies and decision-making
	 Environmental management
Institutional Framework	National legal instruments
	International legal instruments
	Information and statistics
	 Science and investigation for sustainable development

In table 2 the *sustainability indicators* are shown directly applied to urban sustainable development; these indicators help in decision-making not only for policies, but also for development, planning and urban sustainable design. The indicators shown in table 2 are taken or derived from the aforementioned categories and are useful for characterizing and indicating, in values which could be qualitative or quantitative, the actual state of a phenomenon or urban problem within the sustainable field, not only environmental, but economic, social and political.

Ca	tegories of Sustainability	Indicators of Urban Sustainability
Vu	legenes of oustainability	Gross Domestic Product
1.		Net Domestic Product adjusted environmentally for each inhabitant
	Productivity	Annual consumption of energy per inhabitant
		Fossil Fuel Reserves
2.	Growth	Expenditure on research and experimentation in Sustainable Development
3.	Consumption	Consumption of fossil fuels
		Consumption of Renewable Energy
		Goods from environmentally clean capital
	•	Eneray networks
		Potable water networks
		Sewage and drainage
		Residual water treatment
		Hospitals
	Infractructura Sandasa	Schools
4.	and Urban Equipment	Workplaces
	and orban Equipment	Recreation sites
		Markets
		Outdoor markets
		Social Security or Health Care buildings
		Firehouses
		Parks and gardens
		Train Infrastructure
		Train Tracks
		Subway Tracks
		Light Rail Tracks
		Trolley Lines
		Bus Lines
	Transportation	Bicycles
		Motorbikes
		Private Cars
5.		Density of passenger per vehicle
		Highways, roads or streets per 1000 inhabitants
		Density of taxis
		Number of roads, highways or streets per type of transportation
		Density of pedestrian areas
		Bike patris Density of restricted traffic zones
		Density of restillcted trainic 20fies Number of parking spaces and paid parking lets
		Number of parking spaces and free parking lots
		Number of parking spaces and free parking lots close to public transportation
		Public transportation for passengers
<u> </u>		School nonulation
6	Education	Study programs in higher education directly related to sustainability
0.		

TABLE 2 - INDICATORS OF URBAN SUSTAINABILITY

Categories of Sustainability	Indicators of Urban Sustainability
	Access to housing
	Availability of housing
	Quality of housing
	Type of housing
	Cost of housing
	Family homes
	Rental properties
7 Housing	Percentage of financed housing
7. Housing	Vacant housing
	Abandoned housing
	Condition of the housing
	Ecological housing
	Partially ecological housing
	Housing without running water
	Housing without electricity
	Housing without sewage and drainage
	Area of the city
	Population density
	Number of homes
8. Demographics	Growth rate of the urban population
5	Life expectancy
	Loss of life in natural disasters
	• Immigration (as part of the management, provision and organization of the
	urban population in cities) (Chen, 2009).
	Exposure to heavy metals in urban areas
	Exposure to NO2 in urban areas
	Exposure to CO2 in urban areas
	Exposure to volatile Organic Substances in urban areas
9. Health	Exposure to urban hoise Desthe due to violence and delinguence
	Deaths due to violence and delinquency Deaths due to traffic considents
	Deaths due to traine accidents
	Number of sidewark food statios Disages as used by feed metter
	 Diseases caused by recal maller Diseases caused by drinking contaminated water
10 Compating poverty	Dignified housing
iv. Comparing poverty	Digimed housing Paid work
	Farming
	Fishing
11. Regional Development	Extractive industries
	Heavy industries
	Commerce
	Sources of employment
	Justice in the distribution of wealth
	Justice in the exposure of polluting agents
12. Equality	Justice in the distribution of potable water
	Socio-economic segregation
	Greenhouse gases (CO2) caused by transportation
13. Global Climate Change	Greenhouse gases (CO2) caused by industry
	The measuring of local and global warming
	Generation of municipal solid waste
14. Generation of waste	Generation of dangerous waste
	Recycling and use of waste

Number 7(16) / August 2010



Categories of Sustainability	Indicators of Urban Sustainability
	Emissions from other pollutants
	Air quality in urban zones
	Monitoring air pollution
15. Air Pollution	 Number of days vehicles do not circulate
	 Acidification of gases from transportation
	 Quality of Compound Volatile Organic Material from transportation
	 Heavy metals in the atmosphere around transportation
	Changes in the use of soil
	Solid residual deposits
	Dangerous residual deposits
16 Soil Pollution	Sediments with toxic substances
	Erosion and desertification
	Land-fills
	Area of protected forest
	Area of protected urban soil at risk of a change in use
	Monthly precipitation
	Annual water extraction
	Concentration of fecal particles in fresh water
	Biochemical demand for oxygen in bodies of water
	Consumption of water per innabitant
17. Water (Contamination	Consumption of residential water per innabitant Consumption of visiter per event industrial residential commercial contraction
and Consumption)	 Consumption of water per area (industrial, residential, commercial, agricultural and other convision)
. ,	 Quantity of water wasted from its origin to its destination
	Quantity of water water
	Dopulation with access to treated water
	Ouantity of rain water wasted and going to drains
	Polluted water
	I ight pollution
18. Other types of	Vibration pollution
contamination	Sound pollution
	Smell pollution
19. Biodiversity	Modification of biological diversity
20. Integrity of Ecosystems	Modification of ecosystems
	Consumption by area
	Consumption of electricity
	Butane gas / Carbon gas / Natural gas
	Diesel / Gasoline
21. Energy Consumption	Wood burning
	Other intermediate goods
	Consumption of renewable energy
	Consumption of alternate energies (photovoltaic, wind power, geothermal
	power, hydrogen power)
	Consumption of renewable raw materials
	Consumption of non-renewable raw materials
	With recycled content
22. Consumption of Raw	 Recycled Materials compared of a coromic back
Materials	Materials composed of a ceramic base
	wratenais composed of a network base Materials composed of a network base
	Initiatenais composed of a polymer base
	Ivalurar Illalurato Synthetic materials
	Outlity of the high resources
	Quality of the block resources
23. Quality of the	Quality of the landscape
environment	Quality of the socio-cultural and urban environment

Categor	ies of Sustainability	Indicators of Urban Sustainability
24. Urba	an Reforestation and	Reforested areas in urban zones
Gre	en Spaces	Creation of green spaces and gardens
25. Cha	nges in the use of	Area of changed soil
urb	an soil	Type of changed soil
26. Cha	nges in the use of	Area of changed soil
rura	al soil	Type of changed soil
27. Poli mal	cies and decision- king	Evaluation of the laws about environmental impact
28. Envi Mar	ironmental nagement	The group of evaluators of environmental impact
29. Con inte	sumption of other ermediate goods	Various materials and combustibles
30. Nati Inst	onal Legal truments	Creation and updating of urban regulations and sustainable architecture
31 Inter	International Legal	• Revision of international normsUse of international methodologies for a
Inst		decrease in environmental impact
		Available environmental information
32. Info	rmation and statistics	 Number of times urban architectural information about the environment is offered
33. Scie sus dev	ence and research for stainable velopment	Number of scientists employed in the research of sustainable development

These sustainability indicators, shown in Table 2, should be a guide to better decision making in Urban Development Programs for cities or master plans, starting with strategies and initiatives which include the construction of cities in different phases of implementation (Nallathiga, 2009).

7. CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded that sustainable urbanism is a new way of urbanizing, bringing us towards a reduction in environmental impact through the construction of large cities, to raise the quality of life for the inhabitants and ease the processes of economic, social, environmental, political and cultural construction of an urban city or community. For this, sustainable design and planning of the cities is needed, taking into account the premises of sustainable development and applying them to regional development from the creation of housing starting with neighborhoods and up to entire cities and groups of cities. At the same time, we must consider variables and indicators of sustainability that help us make decisions to improve the urban planning at distinct levels: political, planning and urban design, methods, techniques and processes of regional sustainable development.

On the other hand, it is necessary to consider that in Mexico there is a lot to do when it comes to sustainable development and even more when applied to urbanism. It is necessary that sustainable construction and planning regulations be put into place, which does not exist in Mexico at the moment, as well as more scientific research in order to guide development. At the same time, architects and urban planners require information and statistics of the urban environmental type to aid in the urban

environmental projects, as well and technical and legal instruments. We need, then, to reduce our consumption of soil, energy, water, raw materials and avoid the pollution of air, soil, water, and consequently reduce environmental impact, giving a better quality of life to each inhabitant.

REFERENCES

- Chen, X. (2009). Chinese immigration and its implications on urban management in Los Angeles. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 42-58.
- Congress of New Urbanism (CNU) (2008). *Charter of New urbanism*, available at www.cnu.org, reference: January 2009.
- CONAVI, National Housing Commission (2007). Building Code, México, CONAVI Publishing.
- Delgado-Hernández, D. J. and Aspinwall, E. (2008). A framework for building quality into construction projects. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 19 (10), pp. 1013-1028.
- Duany, A. (2006). SMARTCODE; A comprehensive form-based planning ordinance, Miami, Florida, USA, Duany & Plater Zyberk Publishing.
- Ewin, R. (1996). Best development Practices, Washington, D. C., USA, American Planning Association.
- Hadipour, M., Pourebrahim, S. and Mahmmud, A. R. (2009). Mathematical modeling considering air pollution of transportation: An urban environmental planning, case study in Petaling Jaya, Malaysia. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 75-92.
- Hernández-Moreno, S. (2008). Introducción al urbanismo sustentable o nuevo urbanismo, *Espacios Públicos*, 11 (23), pp. 298-307. Retrieved August 12, 2008, from www.redalyc.uaemex.mx.
- Hsieh, H. F., and Shannon, S. F. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15 (9), 1277-1288.
- Ingwe R., Inyang B., Ering S. and Adalikwu R. (2009). Sustainable energy implementation in urban Nigeria. *Management Research and Practice*, Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 39-57
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática (INEGI) (2000). *Indicadores de Desarrollo Sustentable en México*, México, INEGI Publishing.
- Kim, J. J. (1998). *Introduction to Sustainable Design*, Michigan, EUA, Ed. Center for Higher Education, Universidad de Michigan.
- Musatescu, V. and Comanescu, M. (2009). Energy-climate package impact on Romanian urban areas. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 194-213.
- Nallathiga, R. (2009). From master plan to vision plan: The changing role of plans and plan making in city development (with reference to Mumbai), *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 141-157
- Parkes, A., Kearns, A. and Atkinson, R. (2002). What makes people dissatisfied with their neighborhoods?, *Urban Studies*, 39 (13), pp. 2413-2438.
- Plumb, I. and Zamfir, A. (2009). Improving urban management through e-government services: The Romanian experience. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 165-176

- PND, Gobierno de la República (2007). *Plan Nacional de Desarrollo 2007-2012*, México, Presidencia de la República Publishing.
- Popescu, R. I. (2009). The branding potential of Bucharest. Strategy and success factors. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 177-193.
- Ryding, Y., Holman, N. and Wolff, E. (2003). Local Sustainability Indicators, *Local Environment*, 8 (6), pp. 581-589.
- Serrano Barquin, R., Hernandez Moreno, S. and Serrano Barquin R. (2009). Harmonic Tourism; factor of sustainable development in the city of Toluca, México. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 4(13), pp. 5-24.
- United Nations Organization (UN) (1996). Sustainable Development Indicators: Agenda 21, New York, USA, UN Publishing.
- United Nations Organization (UN) (1982). Brundtland Report; Sustainable Development Model, Sweden, UN Publishing.

Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management

60